STARR ELEMENTARY 7400 Highway 81S Starr, S. C. 29684 K-5 Elementary School GRADES 680 Students ENROLLMENT Dr. W. Frank Cason 864-352-6154 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT L. Hugh Smith 864-348-6196 Marty Watt 864-348-6196 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: GOOD Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 47 39 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: NO This school met 15 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE T		VED 4-XEA	
PERFURMANUE	IRENDS D	VER 4-1EA	K PERILID

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Good	Below Average	No
2004		-	

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours



Definition of Critical Terms

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; Advanced exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level **Basic** Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; **Below Basic** the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

·			
	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	44	92	74
Percent satisfied with learning environment	97.7%	85.7%	91.5%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	95.3%	83.1%	71.4%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	69.8%	80.5%	88.7%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR							/\
	,	DUP Red Testing	lested old	on Basic	/	Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	cientand Advance
		Jell Lee.	(ester /	ONE	Basic oh	orofic.	NONSTITUTE OF	ciel Mauco
	EMO	18 N	, / ° 10	ste oh	0/0	0/0	1 0/0 bio.	cient ance
			, Ei	iglish/Lar	iguage Ai	rts		
All students	374	100.0	23.7	47.1	28.0	1.1	29.1	17.6
Gender								
Male	188	100.0	29.9	40.8	28.2	1.1	29.3	17.6
Female	186	100.0	17.7	53.1	28.0	1.1	29.1	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	332	100.0	21.7	47.3	29.7	1.3	31.0	17.6
African-American	38	100.0	39.4	45.5	15.2	N/A	15.2	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	293	100.0	15.7	48.5	34.3	1.5	35.8	17.6
Disabled	81	100.0	52.6	42.1	5.3	N/A	5.3	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	374	100.0	23.7	47.1	28.0	1.1	29.1	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	372	100.0	23.3	47.3	28.2	1.2	29.4	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	210	100.0	35.1	47.1	17.8	N/A	17.8	17.6
Full-pay meals	164	100.0	10.1	47.2	40.3	2.5	42.8	17.6
				Mathe	matics			
All students	374	100.0	18.3	50.0	24.0	7.7	31.7	15.5
Gender								
Male	188	100.0	18.4	45.4	24.7	11.5	36.2	15.5
Female	186	100.0	17.7	54.9	23.4	4.0	27.4	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	332	100.0	15.0	51.1	25.6	8.3	33.9	15.5
African-American	38	100.0	39.4	45.5	12.1	3.0	15.2	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	293	100.0	11.3	49.3	29.6	9.9	39.4	15.5
Disabled	81	100.0	43.4	52.6	3.9	N/A	3.9	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	374	100.0	18.3	50.0	24.0	7.7	31.7	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	372	100.0	17.6	50.4	24.2	7.8	32.0	15.5

Abbreviations for Missing Data

27.7

6.9

52.9

46.5

16.2

33.3

3.1

13.2

19.4

46.5

15.5

15.5

100.0

100.0

210

164

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Englis	ier des	reste al Be	ONL	Basic	Profite 0/0	Advan Profice
		EMO	ign des	Restr ologi	0/10	0/0	0/0	Advar olo Profic
				English	í/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	116	N/A	12.2	34.8	47.0	6.1	53.0
	Grade 4	130	N/A	24.8	46.5	27.9	0.8	28.7
8	Grade 5	117	N/A	28.4	52.6	19.0	N/A	19.0
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	133	100.0	23.3	37.5	36.7	2.5	39.2
	Grade 4	126	100.0	21.1	48.0	30.1	0.8	30.9
23	Grade 5	115	100.0	27.1	57.0	15.9	N/A	15.9
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				Ma	athematic	cs		
	Grade 3	116	N/A	23.5	47.8	19.1	9.6	28.7
	Grade 4	130	N/A	24.0	44.2	21.7	10.1	31.8
2002	Grade 5	117	N/A	30.2	51.7	13.8	4.3	18.1
2	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	133	100.0	21.7	49.2	25.0	4.2	29.2
	Grade 4	126	100.0	7.3	51.2	26.8	14.6	41.5
2003	Grade 5	115	100.0	27.1	49.5	19.6	3.7	23.4
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 680)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	5.3%	Up from 4.0%	2.6%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	96.0%	Up from 92.2%	95.8%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	12.4%	Up from 12.2%	12.8%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	17.7%	Up from 14.1%	8.7%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.9%	Up from 1.4%	1.0%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 48)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	33.3%	Up from 29.8%	45.2%	50.0%
	68.8%	Down from 74.5%	86.1%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	ar 82.5%	Up from 82.2%	86.2%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.9%	Up from 93.2%	95.2%	95.3%
	\$36,462	Up 1.5%	\$39,484	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	11.7 days	Up from 11.6 days	11.1 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	18.6 to 1	Down from 19.7 to 1	18.9 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.3%	Up from 84.5%	89.5%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,235	Up 8.7%	\$5,668	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	67.8%	Up from 66.9%	66.6%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	98.9%	Up from 98.0%	99.0%	99.0%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations	2	Mississ	Data
Appreviations	IOL	wiissina	บลเล

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample
--------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Starr Elementary School is fully accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools and maintains an "All Clear" status from the State Department of Education. Our faculty and staff place a high emphasis on meeting the needs of our students while developing short and long-range plans on the academic standards established by the State Department of Education.

The mission of Starr Elementary School, a rural community school dedicated to developing the highest potential of each child, is to prepare all students to be responsible, self-motivated life-long learners, by fostering parental involvement, embracing diversity, and providing challenging, accountable, and innovative educational opportunities in a safe and caring atmosphere.

Presently, about 680 students attend Starr Elementary. We are extremely proud of their hard work and school spirit. They have made numerous accomplishments including a school and district Lt. Governor's essay winner, a school spelling bee winner, and the Starr Student award winner. Students from each homeroom are also recognized on a monthly basis as Terrific Kids.

We are also very fortunate to have a very dedicated faculty and staff. Teachers have been involved in a variety of staff development activities such as Cunningham Four Block training, science kit training, and curriculum analysis. Many are actively working toward advanced degrees and National Board Certification. One especially of note is Miss Tonya Fowler, who was selected as Teacher of the Year at Starr Elementary.

Dr. W. Frank Cason, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.